

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

The T-function of the quadrilateral lattice

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2009 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 404008 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/42/40/404008)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.155 The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 08:12

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 404008 (9pp)

doi:10.1088/1751-8113/42/40/404008

# The au-function of the quadrilateral lattice

# **Adam Doliwa**

Wydział Matematyki i Informatyki, Uniwersytet Warmińsko-Mazurski w Olsztynie, ul. Żołnierska 14, 10-561 Olsztyn, Poland

E-mail: doliwa@matman.uwm.edu.pl

Received 31 December 2008 Published 16 September 2009 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/42/404008

## Abstract

We investigate the  $\tau$ -function of the quadrilateral lattice using the nonlocal  $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method, and we show that it can be identified with the Fredholm determinant of the integral equation which naturally appears within that approach.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 02.30.Rz, 05.45.Yv Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q58, 37K15, 37K60, 39Axx, 45B05

#### 1. Introduction

Lattices of planar quadrilaterals were introduced into integrability theory in [9, 13] as discrete analogs [28, 29] of conjugate nets [7]. The basic nonlinear system describing such lattices, the discrete Darboux equations (1.2) or (1.6), however first appeared in [4] as generic discrete systems integrable by the nonlocal  $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method [1, 3, 22, 33]. The classical Darboux equations [7], nowadays also called the *N*-wave system [20, 33], form the basic system of equations of the multicomponent Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) hierarchy [8, 20]. The Darboux equations were rediscovered [33] in a generalized matrix form, as the basic set of equations solvable by the  $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method. For example, the KP equation [8] was shown in [3] to be a limiting case of the Darboux system. In fact the whole KP hierarchy can be written, in the so-called Miwa coordinates, as an infinite system of the Darboux equations [5].

In [11] it was shown that the  $\tau$ -function of conjugate nets, which is a potential apparently already known to Darboux, and which can be viewed as the  $\tau$ -function of the whole multicomponent KP hierarchy upon identification of the higher times of the hierarchy with isoconjugate deformations of the nets [17], can be identified with the Fredholm determinant of the integral equation inverting the nonlocal  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem as applied to Darboux equations. The  $\tau$ -functions play the central role [2, 6, 8, 18, 21, 25, 26, 30, 31] in establishing the connections between integrable systems and quantum field theory, statistical mechanics or the theory of random matrices. They are often represented as determinants of infinite matrices or can be identified with the Fredholm determinant of the integral Gel'fand–Levitan–Marchenko equation used to solve the model under consideration. Within the context of the Zakharov and Shabat dressing method [35], the  $\tau$ -function of the KP hierarchy was interpreted as the Fredholm determinant in [27].

The  $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing and related methods have been applied to conjugate nets, quadrilateral lattices and their reductions and transformations in a number of papers, including apart from the above mentioned also [14–17, 24, 34]. The main result of the present paper, somehow missed in earlier studies, is the interpretation of the  $\tau$ -function of the quadrilateral lattice as the Fredholm determinant of the integral equation inverting the nonlocal  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem as studied in [4]. In fact, as the discrete Darboux equations can be obtained from the multicomponent KP hierarchy via the Miwa transformation [17], the result is not a surprise. However, because integrable discrete systems are often considered as more fundamental than their differential counterpart, and because the nonlocal  $\bar{\partial}$ -approach contains, as particular reductions, earlier versions of the inverse spectral (scattering) method, it was desirable to have a direct proof.

The paper is constructed as follows. In section 2, we collect the basic elements of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method and we recall the way of solving the discrete Darboux equations within this method. Section 3 is devoted to a presentation of the Fredholm determinant interpretation of the  $\tau$ -function of the quadrilateral lattice. In the remaining part of section 1, we present the basic elements of the quadrilateral lattice theory.

In affine representation the quadrilateral lattice is a mapping  $x : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{R}^M$ , and the planarity of its elementary quadrilaterals can be formulated in terms of the system of the discrete Laplace equations:

$$\Delta_i \Delta_j \boldsymbol{x} = (T_i A_{ij}) \Delta_i \boldsymbol{x} + (T_j A_{ji}) \Delta_j \boldsymbol{x}, \qquad i \neq j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N,$$
(1.1)

where  $T_i$  denotes the shift operator in  $n_i$  and  $\Delta_i = T_i - 1$  is the corresponding partial difference. For N > 2, the coefficients  $A_{ij}$  of the Laplace equation (1.1) satisfy the discrete Darboux equations:

$$\Delta_k A_{ij} = (T_j A_{jk}) A_{ij} + (T_k A_{kj}) A_{ik} - (T_k A_{ij}) A_{ik}, \qquad i \neq j \neq k \neq i,$$
(1.2)

which is the integrable discrete analog of the Darboux equations describing multidimensional conjugate nets [7]. Equations (1.2) imply the existence of the potentials  $H_i$  [4, 13]:

$$A_{ij} = \frac{\Delta_j H_i}{H_i}, \qquad i \neq j, \tag{1.3}$$

which, in analogy to the continuous case, are called the Lamé coefficients.

Introduce the suitably scaled tangent vectors  $X_i$ , i = 1, ..., N,

$$\Delta_i \boldsymbol{x} = (T_i H_i) \boldsymbol{X}_i, \tag{1.4}$$

then

$$\Delta_j X_i = (T_j Q_{ij}) X_j, \qquad i \neq j, \tag{1.5}$$

and the compatibility condition for the system (1.5) gives the following new form of the discrete Darboux equations:

$$\Delta_k Q_{ij} = (T_k Q_{ik}) Q_{kj}, \qquad i \neq j \neq k \neq i.$$
(1.6)

The Lamé coefficients  $H_i$  solve the linear equations

$$\Delta_i H_i = (T_i H_i) Q_{ij}, \qquad i \neq j, \tag{1.7}$$

whose compatibility again gives equations (1.6).

Define [14, 23] the potentials  $\rho_i$  as solutions to compatible equations:

$$\frac{T_j \rho_i}{\rho_i} = 1 - (T_i Q_{ji}) (T_j Q_{ij}), \qquad i \neq j.$$
(1.8)

The right-hand side of equation (1.8) is symmetric with respect to the interchange of *i* and *j*, which implies the existence of a potential  $\tau$ , such that

$$\rho_i = \frac{T_i \tau}{\tau},\tag{1.9}$$

which is called the  $\tau$ -function of the quadrilateral lattice [14].

# 2. The $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method and the discrete Darboux equations

In this section, we recall [3, 22, 33] the basic idea of the nonlocal  $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method in application to the quadrilateral lattice and the discrete Darboux equations [4].

Consider the following integro-differential equation in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\bar{\partial}\chi(\lambda) = \bar{\partial}\eta(\lambda) + \int_{\mathbb{C}} R(\lambda,\lambda')\chi(\lambda')\,d\lambda' \wedge d\bar{\lambda}', \qquad (2.1)$$

where  $R(\lambda, \lambda')$  is a given  $\bar{\partial}$  datum, which decreases quickly enough at  $\infty$  in  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$ , and the function  $\eta(\lambda)$ , the normalization of the unknown  $\chi(\lambda)$ , is a given rational function, which describes the polar behavior of  $\chi(\lambda)$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  and its behavior at  $\infty$ :

$$\chi(\lambda) - \eta(\lambda) \to 0,$$
 for  $|\lambda| \to \infty.$ 

We remark that the dependence of  $\chi(\lambda)$  and  $R(\lambda, \lambda')$  on  $\overline{\lambda}$  and  $\overline{\lambda}'$  will be systematically omitted, for notational convenience.

Due to the generalized Cauchy formula, the nonlocal  $\bar{\partial}$  problem (2.1) is equivalent to the following Fredholm integral equation of the second kind:

$$\chi(\lambda) = \eta(\lambda) - \int_{\mathbb{C}} K(\lambda, \lambda') \chi(\lambda') \, \mathrm{d}\lambda' \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{\lambda}', \qquad (2.2)$$

with the kernel

$$K(\lambda, \lambda') = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{R(\lambda'', \lambda')}{\lambda'' - \lambda} d\lambda'' \wedge d\bar{\lambda}''.$$
(2.3)

Recall (see, for example, [32]) that the Fredholm determinant  $D_F$  is defined by the series

$$D_{\rm F} = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^m} K \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 & \zeta_2 & \cdots & \zeta_m \\ \zeta_1 & \zeta_2 & \cdots & \zeta_m \end{pmatrix} d\zeta_1 \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_1 \cdots d\zeta_m \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_m, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$K\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 & \zeta_2 & \cdots & \zeta_m \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \cdots & \mu_m \end{pmatrix} = \det(K(\zeta_i, \mu_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}.$$

For a nonvanishing Fredholm determinant, the solution of (2.2) can be written in the form

$$\chi(\lambda) = \eta(\lambda) - \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{D_{\mathrm{F}}(\lambda, \lambda')}{D_{\mathrm{F}}} \eta(\lambda') \, \mathrm{d}\lambda' \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{\lambda}', \qquad (2.5)$$

where the Fredholm minor is defined by the series

$$D_{\rm F}(\lambda,\lambda') = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^m} K \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \zeta_1 & \cdots & \zeta_m \\ \lambda' & \zeta_1 & \cdots & \zeta_m \end{pmatrix} d\zeta_1 \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_1 \cdots d\zeta_m \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_m.$$
(2.6)

Let  $\lambda_i^{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}$ , i = 1, ..., N, be pairs of distinct points of the complex plane. To get the  $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method of construction of solutions to the discrete Darboux equations, one introduces [4] the following dependence of the kernel *R* on the variables  $n = (n_1, ..., n_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ :

$$T_i R(\lambda, \lambda'; n) = L_i(\lambda)^{-1} R(\lambda, \lambda'; n) L_i(\lambda'), \qquad L_i(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_i^-}{\lambda - \lambda_i^+}, \qquad (2.7)$$

or equivalently

$$R(\lambda, \lambda'; n) = G(\lambda; n)^{-1} R_0(\lambda, \lambda') G(\lambda'; n), \qquad G(\lambda; n) = \prod_{i=1}^N L_i(\lambda)^{n_i}, \tag{2.8}$$

where  $R_0(\lambda, \lambda')$  is independent of *n*. We assume that  $R_0$  decreases at  $\lambda_i^{\pm}$  and in poles of the normalization function  $\eta$  fast enough such that  $\chi - \eta$  is regular at these points [3, 4].

**Remark.** In the paper, we always assume that the kernel *R* in the nonlocal  $\bar{\partial}$  problem is such that the Fredholm equation (2.2) is uniquely solvable. Then, by the Fredholm alternative, the homogenous equation with  $\eta = 0$  has only a trivial solution.

Directly one can verify the following result which gives evolution of the kernel *K* of the Fredholm equation (2.2) implied by evolution of the  $\bar{\partial}$  datum.

**Lemma 1.** The evolution (2.7) of the kernel *R* implies that the kernel *K* of the integral equation (2.2) is subject to the equation

$$T_i K(\lambda, \lambda'; n) = L_i(\lambda)^{-1} [K(\lambda, \lambda'; n) + (L_i(\lambda) - 1) K(\lambda_i^-, \lambda'; n)] L_i(\lambda');$$
(2.9)

moreover,

$$T_i K(\lambda_i^+, \lambda'; n) = K(\lambda_i^-, \lambda'; n) L_i(\lambda').$$
(2.10)

This leads to the following crucial, for our purposes, result.

**Lemma 2.** When  $\chi(\lambda; n)$  is the unique, by assumption, solution to the  $\bar{\partial}$  problem (2.1) with the kernel *R* evolving according to (2.7), and with normalization  $\eta(\lambda; n)$ , then the function  $L_i(\lambda)T_i\chi(\lambda; n)$  is the solution to the same  $\bar{\partial}$  problem but with the new normalization

$$\eta^{(i)}(\lambda;n) = L_i(\lambda)T_i\eta(\lambda;n) + (L_i(\lambda) - 1)\lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_i^+} (T_i\chi(\lambda;n) - T_i\eta(\lambda;n)).$$
(2.11)

**Remark.** We allow for the normalization to have poles at the distinguished points  $\lambda_i^{\pm}$  of the construction.

**Proof.** Application of equations (2.9) and (2.10) to the shifted formula (2.2) leads to

$$L_{i}(\lambda)T_{i}\chi(\lambda;n) = L_{i}(\lambda)T_{i}\eta(\lambda;n) - \int_{\mathbb{C}} K(\lambda,\lambda';n)L_{i}(\lambda')T_{i}\chi(\lambda';n) d\lambda' \wedge d\bar{\lambda} - (L_{i}(\lambda)-1)\int_{\mathbb{C}} T_{i}K(\lambda_{i}^{+},\lambda';n)L_{i}(\lambda')T_{i}\chi(\lambda';n) d\lambda' \wedge d\bar{\lambda}'.$$

To obtain the statement, note that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_i^+} (T_i \chi(\lambda; n) - T_i \eta(\lambda; n)) = -\int_{\mathbb{C}} T_i K(\lambda_i^+, \lambda'; n) L_i(\lambda') T_i \chi(\lambda'; n) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda' \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{\lambda}'.$$

The following result allows us to give the  $\bar{\partial}$ -method of construction of solutions to the discrete Darboux equations

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\chi_i(\lambda; n), i = 1, ..., N$ , be a solution to the  $\overline{\partial}$  problem (2.1) with the normalization

$$\eta_i(\lambda) = L_i(\lambda) - 1 = \frac{\lambda_i^+ - \lambda_i^-}{\lambda - \lambda_i^+}.$$
(2.12)

4

Denote

$$G_i(\lambda; n) = \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^N L_j(\lambda)^{n_j};$$
(2.13)

then the functions

$$\psi_i(\lambda; n) = \chi_i(\lambda; n) G(\lambda; n) G_i(\lambda_i^+; n)^{-1}, \qquad (2.14)$$

$$Q_{ij}(n) = \chi_i \left(\lambda_j^+; n\right) \frac{G_j\left(\lambda_j^+; n\right)}{G_i\left(\lambda_i^+; n\right)} L_j\left(\lambda_i^+\right)$$
(2.15)

satisfy equations

$$\Delta_j \psi_i(\lambda; n) = T_j Q_{ij}(n) \psi_j(\lambda; n), \qquad j \neq i,$$
(2.16)

$$\Delta_j Q_{ik}(n) = T_j Q_{ij}(n) Q_{jk}(n), \qquad i \neq j \neq k \neq i.$$
(2.17)

**Proof.** The combination  $L_j(\lambda)T_j\chi_i(\lambda;n)L_j(\lambda_i^+)^{-1} - \chi_i(\lambda;n), j \neq i$ , satisfies the integral equation (2.2) with the same normalization as  $T_j\chi_i(\lambda_j^+)\chi_j(\lambda;n)$ . Therefore, by the Fredholm alternative,

$$L_{j}(\lambda)T_{j}\chi_{i}(\lambda;n)L_{j}(\lambda_{i}^{+})^{-1} - \chi_{i}(\lambda;n) = T_{j}\chi_{i}(\lambda_{j}^{+})\chi_{j}(\lambda;n), \qquad j \neq i,$$
(2.18)

which leads to the linear system (2.16). Its compatibility (2.17) can also be obtained by evaluating equation (2.18) at the points  $\lambda_k^+$ ,  $k \neq i, j$ .

For completeness, we also recall the following result of [4].

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\chi(\lambda; n)$  be a solution to the  $\overline{\partial}$  problem (2.1) with the canonical normalization  $\eta(\lambda) = 1$ ; then the function

$$\psi(\lambda; n) = \chi(\lambda; n) G(\lambda; n)$$

satisfies the discrete Laplace system

$$\Delta_i \Delta_j \psi(\lambda; n) = (T_i A_{ij})(n) \Delta_i \psi(\lambda; n) + (T_j A_{ji})(n) \Delta_j \psi(\lambda; n), \qquad i \neq j,$$

with coefficients

$$A_{ij}(n) = L_j(\lambda_i^+) \frac{T_j \chi(\lambda_i^+; n)}{\chi(\lambda_i^+; n)} - 1, \qquad i \neq j,$$

while the corresponding Lamé coefficients are given by

$$H_i(n) = \chi \left( \lambda_i^+; n \right) G_i \left( \lambda_i^+; n \right).$$

**Remark.** Various *n*-independent measures  $d\mu_a$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  give rise to coordinates

$$x^{a}(n) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \psi(\lambda; n) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{a},$$

of quadrilateral lattices, having  $H_i(n)$  as the Lamé coefficients, and the functions

$$X_i^a(n) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \psi_i(\lambda; n) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_a$$

being coordinates of the normalized tangent vectors. To obtain real lattices, the kernel  $R_0$ , the points  $\lambda_i^{\pm}$  and the measures  $d\mu_a$  should satisfy certain additional conditions.

# 3. The first potentials and the $\tau$ -function

To give the meaning of the  $\tau$ -function within the  $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method, we first present the meaning of the potentials  $\rho_i$  defined by equations (1.8).

**Proposition 5.** Within the  $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method, the potentials  $\rho_i$  can be identified with

$$\rho_i(n) = -\chi_i\left(\lambda_i^-; n\right) \frac{G_i\left(\lambda_i^-; n\right)}{G_i\left(\lambda_i^+; n\right)}.$$
(3.1)

**Proof.** Evaluation of formula (2.18) at  $\lambda = \lambda_j^-$  gives

$$\chi_i(\lambda_i^-;n) = -T_j \chi_i(\lambda_i^+;n) \chi_j(\lambda_i^-;n).$$
(3.2)

Evaluation in turn of (2.18) at  $\lambda = \lambda_i^-$  gives

$$T_j \chi_i (\lambda_i^-; n) \frac{L_j(\lambda_i^-)}{L_j(\lambda_i^+)} - \chi_i (\lambda_i^-; n) = T_j \chi_i (\lambda_j^+; n) \chi_j (\lambda_i^-; n),$$

which in view of (3.2) implies

$$T_j\chi_i(\lambda_i^-;n)\frac{L_j(\lambda_i^-)}{L_j(\lambda_i^+)} = \chi_i(\lambda_i^-;n)(1-T_j\chi_i(\lambda_j^+;n)T_i\chi_j(\lambda_i^+;n)).$$

The last formula, the identification (2.15) and equation (1.8) give the statement.

Before proving that the  $\tau$ -function of the quadrilateral lattice is equal essentially to the Fredholm determinant of the integral equation inverting the nonlocal  $\bar{\partial}$  problem (2.1) with the  $\bar{\partial}$  datum evolving according to the rule (2.7), we will need the following technical result.

**Lemma 6.** The evolution (2.9) of the kernel of the Fredholm equation implies the following evolution of the determinants in the series defining the Fredholm determinant  $D_{\rm F}$ :

$$T_{i}K\begin{pmatrix}\zeta_{1} & \zeta_{2} & \cdots & \zeta_{m} \\ \zeta_{1} & \zeta_{2} & \cdots & \zeta_{m} \end{pmatrix} = K\begin{pmatrix}\zeta_{1} & \zeta_{2} & \cdots & \zeta_{m} \\ \zeta_{1} & \zeta_{2} & \cdots & \zeta_{m} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} [L_{i}(\zeta_{j}) - 1]K\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_{i}^{-} & \zeta_{1} & \cdots & \check{\zeta}_{j} & \cdots & \zeta_{m} \\ \zeta_{j} & \zeta_{1} & \cdots & \check{\zeta}_{j} & \cdots & \zeta_{m} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the symbol  $\xi_i$  means that  $\zeta_i$  should be removed from the sequence.

**Proof.** Extracting  $L_i(\zeta_j)^{-1}$  from rows and  $L_i(\zeta_k)$  from columns of the determinant

$$T_{i}K\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_{1} & \cdots & \zeta_{m} \\ \zeta_{1} & \cdots & \zeta_{m} \end{pmatrix} = \det \left( L_{i}(\zeta_{j})^{-1} \left[ K(\zeta_{j}, \zeta_{k}; n) + (L_{i}(\zeta_{j}) - 1) K(\lambda_{i}^{-}, \zeta_{k}; n) \right] L_{i}(\zeta_{k}) \right)_{1 \leq j,k \leq m},$$

one arrives at the bordered determinant (an object often encountered in soliton theory [19])

$$\det \left( K(\zeta_j, \zeta_k; n) + (L_i(\zeta_j) - 1) K(\lambda_i^-, \zeta_k; n) \right)_{1 \leq j,k \leq m}$$
  
= 
$$\det(K(\zeta_j, \zeta_k; n))_{1 \leq j,k \leq m} + \sum_{j,k=1}^m (L_i(\zeta_j) - 1) \Delta_{jk} K(\lambda_i^-, \zeta_k; n),$$

where by  $(\Delta_{ik})_{1 \leq i,k \leq m}$  we denote the cofactor matrix of  $(K(\zeta_i, \zeta_k; n))_{1 \leq i,k \leq m}$ . Note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta_{jk} K\big(\lambda_i^-, \zeta_k; n\big) = K \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 & \cdots & \lambda_i^- & \cdots & \zeta_m \\ \zeta_1 & \cdots & \zeta_j & \cdots & \zeta_m \end{pmatrix},$$

and, finally, application of an even number of transpositions to the above determinant concludes the proof.  $\square$ 

From lemma 6, we immediately obtain the evolution rule of the Fredholm determinant.

**Proposition 7.** The evolution (2.9) of the kernel of the Fredholm equation implies the following evolution of the Fredholm determinant  $D_{\rm F}$ :

$$T_i D_{\mathrm{F}}(n) = D_{\mathrm{F}}(n) + \int_{\mathbb{C}} D_{\mathrm{F}}(\lambda_i^-, \lambda; n) [L_i(\lambda) - 1] \,\mathrm{d}\lambda \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{\lambda}.$$
(3.3)

We are ready to state the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 8.** Within the  $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method with the  $\bar{\partial}$  datum evolving according to the rule (2.7) the  $\tau$ -function of the quadrilateral lattice can be identified, up to a standard factor, with the Fredholm determinant as follows:

$$\tau(n) = D_{\mathrm{F}}(n) \prod_{i < j} C_{ij}^{n_i n_j}, \qquad \text{where} \qquad C_{ij} = \frac{L_i(\lambda_j^-)}{L_i(\lambda_j^+)} = C_{ji}. \tag{3.4}$$

**Proof.** Proposition 7, formula (2.5) and proposition 5 imply that

$$\frac{T_i D_{\mathrm{F}}(n)}{D_{\mathrm{F}}(n)} = -\chi_i \left( \lambda_i^-; n \right) = \rho_i(n) \frac{G_i \left( \lambda_i^+; n \right)}{G_i \left( \lambda_i^-; n \right)}.$$

Due to equation (1.9), we have

$$\frac{T_i \tau(n)}{\tau(n)} = \frac{T_i D_{\mathrm{F}}(n)}{D_{\mathrm{F}}(n)} \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} \left( \frac{L_i \left( \lambda_j^- \right)}{L_i \left( \lambda_j^+ \right)} \right)^{n_j}$$

which upon integration gives the statement of the theorem.

# 4. Conclusion and remarks

We have shown that within the  $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method, the  $\tau$ -function of the quadrilateral lattice can be identified with the Fredholm determinant of the integral equation inverting the corresponding nonlocal  $\hat{\partial}$  problem. The proof of this fact was quite elementary, and in a certain aspect (in the continuous limit  $\lambda_i^-$  and  $\lambda_i^+$  coincide, which produces essential singularities in the function  $G(\lambda)$  even simpler than in an earlier similar paper [11] where the  $\tau$ -function of the conjugate nets had been treated.

It should be noted that the prescribed singularity structure of the functions  $\psi_i(\lambda; n)$  is the same as in the construction of the algebro-geometric solutions of the discrete Darboux equations [10], and the  $\hat{\partial}$ -dressing method meaning of the first potentials  $\rho_i$  given here is a direct counterpart of that given in [10].

Finally, we remark that geometrically one can consider quadrilateral lattices in projective spaces over division rings [12] and a substantial part of the integrability structures (including the existence of the first potentials  $\rho_i$  or the Darboux-type transformations) goes over. However,

it seems that without certain additional (commutativity) conditions one cannot define a  $\tau$ -function of such a quadrilateral lattice over a general division ring.

# References

- Ablowitz M J, Bar Yaacov D and Fokas A S 1983 On the inverse scattering problem for the Kadomtsev– Petviashvili equation *Stud. Appl. Math.* 69 135–43
- [2] Adler M, Shiota T and van Moerbeke P 1998 Random matrices, Virasoro algebras and noncommutative KP Duke Math. J. 94 379–431
- [3] Bogdanov L V and Manakov S V 1988 The nonlocal ∂-problem and (2+1)-dimensional soliton equations J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 L537-44
- [4] Bogdanov L V and Konopelchenko B G 1995 Lattice and q-difference Darboux–Zakharov–Manakov systems via 
   <sup>3</sup>
   *ö* method J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 L173–8
- [5] Bogdanov L V and Konopelchenko B G 1998 Analytic-bilinear approach to integrable hierarchies: II. Multicomponent KP and 2D Toda lattice hierarchies J. Math. Phys. 39 4701–28
- [6] Borodin A and Deift P 2002 Fredholm determinants, Jimbo–Miwa–Ueno τ-functions, and representation theory Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 55 1160–230
- [7] Darboux G 1910 Leçons Sur les systèmes Orthogonaux et les Coordonnées Curvilignes (Paris: Gauthier-Villars)
- [8] Date E, Kashiwara M, Jimbo M and Miwa T 1983 Transformation groups for soliton equations Nonlinear Integrable Systems—Classical Theory and Quantum Theory (Proc. RIMS Symp.) ed M Jimbo and T Miwa (Singapore: World Scientific) pp 39–119
- [9] Doliwa A 1997 Geometric discretisation of the Toda system *Phys. Lett.* A 234 187–92
- [10] Doliwa A 2001 Integrable multidimensional discrete geometry: quadrilateral lattices, their transformations and reductions *Integrable Hierarchies and Modern Physical Theories* ed H Aratyn and A S Sorin (Dordrecht: Kluwer) pp 355–89
- [11] Doliwa A 2005 On  $\tau$ -function of conjugate nets J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 12 (Suppl) 244–52
- [12] Doliwa A 2008 Geometric algebra and quadrilateral lattices arXiv:0801.0512 [nlin.SI]
- [13] Doliwa A and Santini P M 1997 Multidimensional quadrilateral lattices are integrable Phys. Lett. A 233 365-72
- [14] Doliwa A and Santini P M 2000 The symmetric, D-invariant and Egorov reductions of the quadrilateral lattice J. Geom. Phys. 36 60–102
- [15] Doliwa A, Manakov S V and Santini P M 1998 ∂-reductions of the multidimensional quadrilateral lattice: the multidimensional circular lattice Comm. Math. Phys. 196 1–18
- [16] Doliwa A, Santini P M and Mañas M 2000 Transformations of quadrilateral lattices J. Math. Phys. 41 944–90
- [17] Doliwa A, Mañas M, Martínez Alonso L, Medina E and Santini P M 1999 Multicomponent KP hierarchy and classical transformations of conjugate nets J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 1197–216
- [18] Grinevich P G and Orlov A Yu 1989 Flag spaces in KP theory and Virasoro action on det  $\hat{\partial}_j$  and Segal–Wilson  $\tau$ -function *Problems of Modern Quantum Field Theory* (Berlin: Springer) pp 86–106
- [19] Hirota R 2004 The Direct Method in Soliton Theory (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [20] Kac V G and van de Leur J 2003 The n-component KP hierarchy and representation theory J. Math. Phys. 44 3245–93
- [21] Kharchev S 1999 Kadomtsev–Petviashvili Hierarchy and Generalized Kontsevich Model (Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2 vol 191) (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [22] Konopelchenko B G 1993 Solitons in Multidimensions. Inverse Spectral Transform Method (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [23] Konopelchenko B G and Schief W K 1998 Three-dimensional integrable lattices in Euclidean spaces: conjugacy and orthogonality Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 454 3075–104
- [24] Mañas M, Martínez Alonso L and Medina E 2000 Dressing methods for geometric nets: I. Conjugate nets J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 2871–94
- [25] van Moerbeke P 2001 Integrable lattices: random matrices and random permutations Random Matrices and Their Applications (MSRI Publications) vol 40 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) pp 321–406
- [26] Palmer J 1990 Determinants of Cauchy–Riemann operators as τ-functions Acta Appl. Math. 18 199–223
- [27] Pöppe Ch and Sattinger D H 1988 Fredholm determinants and the τ function for the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili hierarchy Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 24 505–38
- [28] Sauer R 1937 Projective Liniengeometrie (Berlin: de Gruyter)
- [29] Sauer R 1970 Differenzengeometrie (Berlin: Springer)
- [30] Sato M 1981 Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite dimensional Grassman manifolds RIMS Kokyuroku 439 30–46

- [31] Segal G and Wilson G 1985 Loop groups and equations of KdV type Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 61 5–65
- [32] Smithies F 1965 Integral Equations (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [33] Zakharov V E and Manakov S V 1985 Construction of higher-dimensional nonlinear integrable systems and of their solutions *Funk. Anal. Appl.* 19 89–101
- [34] Zakharov V E and Manakov S V 1998 Reductions in systems integrated by the method of the inverse scattering problem Dokl. Math. 57 471–4
- [35] Zakharov V E, Manakov S V, Novikov S P and Pitaevskii L P 1980 Theory of Solitons—Inverse Scattering Method (Moscow: Nauka)